

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,630	09/05/2003	David J. Parins	1001.1674101	8129
28075 CROMPTON	7590 12/20/200 SEAGER & TUFTE, I	EXAMINER		
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN	
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		3736	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•	NI					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.577	10/656,630	PARINS, DAVID J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey G. Hoekstra	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	,	•				
. 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 \$</u>	September 2006.					
	is action is non-final.	·				
Disposition of Claims		,				
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 34-46 is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/</li> </ul>	or election requirement.	,				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Burea	·	Trederived in the Manerial Clage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , ,	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	5) 🔲 Notice of	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·				

Application/Control Number: 10/656,630 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Notice of Amendment**

1. In response to the amendment filed on 07/21/2006, amended claims 1, 9, 17, and 25 are acknowledged. The current rejections of the claims 1-33 are *withdrawn*. The following new and reiterated grounds of rejection are set forth:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson et al (US 2001/0009980) in view of Kaldany (US 5,222,949).
- 4. For claims 1, 9, 17, and 25, Richardson et al discloses the claimed invention, including: an intracorporal medical device (10), comprising: an elongate shaft (18), a flexible helically wound coil (22) having a plurality of windings disposed about said shaft, a thermoplastic polymer sleeve (28) disposed about said coil via localized heating (paragraph 26), and a continuous affixation area disposed about the length of said coil wherein said continuous affixation area affixes the sleeve to two or more coil windings as best seen in Figure 11 (paragraph 28 lines 12-15) facilitating control over mechanical properties of the medical device. Richardson et al discloses the claimed invention as broadly as structurally claimed except for a plurality of discrete affixation points wherein

Application/Control Number: 10/656,630 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

each discrete affixation point is separated from other discrete affixation points by areas where the polymer sleeve is not affixed to the coil. Kaldany teaches an intracorporal device (30) comprising: the application of radiation (Abstract) for affixation to a plurality of discrete affixation points (20) along said device, as best seen in Figures 1a and 1b, wherein each discrete affixation point is separated from other discrete affixation point by areas where the polymer sleeve is untreated (10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the intracorporal medical device as taught by Richardson et al, with the affixation as taught by Kaldany for the purpose of configuring the variable mechanical properties of an intracorporal medical device.

- 5. For claims 2, 10, 18, and 26, Richardson et al discloses the claimed invention except for the plurality of discrete affixation points including 10 discrete affixation points disposed along the device length. Kaldany teaches and shows a plurality of discrete affixation points including 10 discrete affixation points disposed along the device length as best seen in Figures 1a and 1b (column1 line 34 column 2 line 26). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the intracorporal medical device as taught by Richardson et al, with the localized heating of polymers taught by Kaldany for the purpose of configuring the variable mechanical properties of an intracorporal medical device.
- 6. For claims 3, 11, 19, and 27, Richardson et al discloses the claimed invention except for the plurality of discrete affixation points forming a non-uniform pattern along the device length. Kaldany teaches configuring the plurality of discrete affixation points

Application/Control Number: 10/656,630 Page 4

Art Unit: 3736

forming a non-uniform pattern along the device length (column 7 lines 42-54). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the intracorporal medical device as taught by Richardson et al, with the affixation as taught by Kaldany for the purpose of configuring the variable mechanical properties of an intracorporal medical device.

- 7. For claims 4-5, 12-13, 20-21, and 28-29, Richardson et al discloses the claimed invention except for (a) the plurality of discrete affixation points having a density of discrete affixation points per unit length of device that decreases along the device length or (b) the plurality of discrete affixation points form a uniform pattern along the coil length. Kaldany teaches configuring the plurality of discrete affixation points having a density of discrete affixation points per unit length of device that decreases along the device length (column 3 line 45 column 4 line 5) and (b) the plurality of discrete affixation points form a uniform pattern along the coil length (column 3 line 45 column 4 line 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the intracorporal medical device as taught by Richardson et al, with the affixation as taught by Kaldany for the purpose of configuring the variable mechanical properties of an intracorporal medical device.
- 8. For claims 6-8, 14-16, 22-24, and 30-33, Richardson et al discloses the claimed invention, including affixing the polymer sleeve to multiple coil windings (paragraph 21-23) said affixing may be in an orthogonal relationship to configure the device with mechanical properties suitable to traverse tortuous internal vasculature, except for (a) each discrete affixation point affixing 3 to 10 or 3 to 20 coil windings to the thermoplastic

Application/Control Number: 10/656,630

Art Unit: 3736

sleeve. (b) each discrete affixation point is a element having a width of 0.1 to 0.5 mm and a length of 0.1 to 0.3 mm, or (b) the density of discrete affixation points per unit length decreases from the proximal end to the distal end. Kaldany teaches configuring (a) each discrete affixation point to be sized according to the desired mechanical properties required for the device (column 3 line 45 – column 4 line 5), (b) each discrete affixation point is a element having a width of 0.1 to 0.5 mm and a length of 0.1 to 0.3 mm (column 3 line 45 - column 4 line 5), and (c) the density of discrete affixation points per unit length decreases from the proximal end to the distal end (column 3 line 45 column 4 line 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the intracorporal medical device as taught by Richardson et al, with affixation as taught by Kaldany for the purpose of configuring the variable mechanical properties of an intracorporal medical device.

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey G. Hoekstra whose telephone number is (571)272-7232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

Art Unit: 3736

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max F. Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JH /